1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9		
10	AHMAD S. KHAN,	CASE NO. C24-2152JLR
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER
12	V.	
13	AMAZON INC., et al,	
14	Defendants.	
15	Before the court are (1) United States Magistrate Judge Brian A. Tsuchida's order	
16	to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure to perfect service and	
17	(2) pro se Plaintiff Ahmad S. Khan's response thereto. (OSC (Dkt. # 6); OSC Resp.	
18	(Dkt. #7).) For the reasons set forth below, the court DISMISSES Mr. Khan's	
19	complaint, and this action, without prejudice for failure to perfect service.	
20	Mr. Khan filed this action on December 26, 2024. (Compl. (Dkt. # 1).) He raises	
21	approximately 25 claims against nearly three dozen Defendants in his complaint. (See	
22	generally id.) On March 19, 2025, Mr. Khan moved for a three-week extension of the	

1	90-day service deadline set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). (Mot. to		
2	Extend (Dkt. # 4) at 1-2); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (providing that if a defendant is not		
3	served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court, after giving notice to the		
4	plaintiff, must dismiss the action without prejudice). Later that same day, Magistrate		
5	Judge Tsuchida granted the motion and ordered Mr. Khan to serve all Defendants by no		
6	later than April 16, 2025. (3/19/25 Order (Dkt. # 5).) Mr. Khan, however, did not file		
7	any affidavits of service before that deadline expired. (See generally Dkt.) On April 18,		
8	2025, Magistrate Judge Tsuchida issued an order directing Mr. Khan to show cause, by		
9	no later than April 30, 2025, why the court should not dismiss this action without		
10	prejudice for failure to perfect service. (See generally OSC.) Mr. Khan filed a timely		
11	response to the order to show cause. (See generally OSC Resp.) The action was		
12	reassigned to the undersigned on May 1, 2025. (5/1/25 Min. Order (Dkt. # 8).)		
13	The court has carefully reviewed Mr. Khan's response and finds that he has not		
14	shown good cause for his failure to serve Defendants. (See generally OSC Resp.) The		
15	court further finds that Mr. Khan has not shown good cause to further extend the service		
16	deadline. (Id.); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Accordingly, the court DISMISSES Mr.		
17	Khan's complaint, and this action, without prejudice for failure to perfect service under		
18	Rule 4(m).		
19	Dated this 28th day of May, 2025.		
20	Jun R. Rlut		
21	JAMES L. ROBART		
	United States District Judge		

ORDER - 2

22